# ON WEAKLY 2-ABSORBING PRIMARY IDEALS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS

AYMAN BADAWI, UNSAL TEKIR, AND ECE YETKIN

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$ . In this paper, we introduce the concept of weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal which is a generalization of weakly 2-absorbing ideal. A proper ideal I of R is called a *weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal* of R if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $0 \neq abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ . A number of results concerning weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals and examples of weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals are given.

### 1. Introduction

We assume throughout this paper that all rings are commutative with  $1 \neq 0$ . Let R be a commutative ring. An ideal I of R is said to be proper if  $I \neq R$ . Let I be a proper ideal of R. Then  $\sqrt{I} = \{r \in R : r^k \in I \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ denotes the radical ideal of R and  $Z_I(R) = \{r \in R \mid rs \in I \text{ for some } s \in R \setminus I\}$ . Note that  $\sqrt{0}$  is the set (ideal) of all nilpotent elements of R. The concept of 2-absorbing ideal, which is a generalization of prime ideal, was introduced by Badawi in [5] and studied in [3], [12], and [8]. Various generalizations of prime ideals are also studied in [1] and [9].

Recall that a proper ideal I of R is called a 2-*absorbing ideal* of R if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ . Recently (see [7]), the concept of 2-absorbing ideal is extended to the context of 2-absorbing primary ideal which is a generalization of primary ideal. Recall from [7] that a proper ideal of R is said to be a 2-*absorbing primary ideal* of R if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  with  $abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ . Recall from [2] ([4]) that a proper ideal I of R is called a *weakly prime ideal* (*weakly primary ideal*) if whenever  $0 \neq ab \in I$ , then  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  ( $a \in I$  or  $b \in \sqrt{I}$ ). The concept of weakly prime ideal was extended to the context of weakly 2-absorbing ideal. Recall from [6] that a proper ideal I of R is said to be a *weakly 2-absorbing* ideal.

O2015Korean Mathematical Society

Received March 18, 2014; Revised July 2, 2014.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13A15; Secondary 13F05, 13G05.

*Key words and phrases.* primary ideal, weakly primary ideal, prime ideal, weakly prime ideal, 2-absorbing ideal, n-absorbing ideal, weakly 2-absorbing ideal, 2-absorbing primary ideal, weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal.

*ideal* of R if whenever  $0 \neq abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ . In this paper, we extend the concept of weakly 2-absorbing ideal to the context of weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal. A proper ideal I of R is said to be a *weakly* 2-absorbing primary ideal of R if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  with  $0 \neq abc \in I$  implies  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ .

Note that every 2-absorbing primary ideal is clearly a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal. However, the converse is not true. For example, 0 is always a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R, but it is not always a 2-absorbing primary ideal.

Among many results in this paper, it is shown (Example 2.6) that the radical of a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of a ring R need not be a weakly 2absorbing ideal of R. It is shown (Theorem 2.7) that if I is a proper ideal of R such that  $\sqrt{I}$  is a weakly prime ideal of R, then I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. It is shown (Theorem 2.10) that if I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R that is not 2-absorbing primary, then  $I^3 = 0$ . It is shown (Example 2.11) that if  $I^3 = 0$  for some proper ideal I of R, then I need not be a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. It is shown (Theorem 2.14) that if  $\sqrt{0}$  is prime and I is a proper ideal of R, then I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal. If  $R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$ , then a complete characterization of the nonzero weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals of R is determined (Theorem 2.21–Theorem 2.24). It is shown (Theorem 2.25) that every proper ideal of  $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if  $R_1, R_2$ , and  $R_3$  are fields. It is shown (Theorem 2.26) that if every proper ideal of R is weakly 2-absorbing primary, then R has at most three incomparable (under inclusion) prime ideals (and hence at most three distinct maximal ideals). It is shown (Theorem 2.30) that if I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R and  $0 \neq I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$ of R such that I is free triple-zero with respect to  $I_1I_2I_3$ , then  $I_1I_2 \subseteq I$  or  $I_1I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  or  $I_2I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . In the last section, we give alternative proofs to some results in [2].

## 2. Weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals

**Definition 2.1.** A proper ideal I of R is called a *weakly 2-absorbing primary* ideal of R if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $0 \neq abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let *I* be a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of *R*. We say (a, b, c) is a *triple-zero* of *I* if abc = 0,  $ab \notin I$ ,  $bc \notin \sqrt{I}$ , and  $ac \notin \sqrt{I}$ .

Note that if I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R that is not 2absorbing primary ideal, then there exists a triple-zero (a, b, c) of I for some  $a, b, c \in R$ .

We start with the following result. We omit the proof since it is clear by definitions.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let I be a proper ideal of R. Then

- (1) If I is a weakly prime ideal, then I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal.
- (2) If I is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal, then I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal.
- (3) If I is a weakly primary ideal, then I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal.
- (4) If I is a 2-absorbing ideal, then I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal.
- (5) If I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal, then I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal.

Recall that a ring R is called *quasilocal* if it has exactly one maximal ideal. The proof of the following result is clear, and hence we omit the proof.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let R be a quasilocal ring with maximal ideal  $\sqrt{0}$ . Then every proper ideal of R is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let I be a proper ideal of R. Then  $\sqrt{I}$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of R if and only if  $\sqrt{I}$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

*Proof.* Since 
$$\sqrt{\sqrt{I}} = \sqrt{I}$$
, the proof is completed.

If I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R, then  $\sqrt{I}$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of R by [7, Theorem 2.2]. However, if I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal, then  $\sqrt{I}$  need not be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of R. We have the following example.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}_2[X, Y, W]$  and  $I = X^2 Y^2 W^2 A$  be an ideal of A. Let R = A/I. Then I/I is the zero ideal of R, and hence 0 is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. We show that  $\sqrt{0}$  (in R)= XYWA/I is not a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of R. For in the ring R, we have  $0 \neq XYW + I \in \sqrt{0}$ , but  $XY + I \notin \sqrt{0}$ ,  $XW + I \notin \sqrt{0}$ , and  $YW + I \notin \sqrt{0}$ . Thus  $\sqrt{0}$  (in R) is not a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of R.

Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R*. Since  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\sqrt{I}}$ , it is clear that  $\sqrt{I}$  is a weakly prime ideal of *R* if and only if  $\sqrt{I}$  is a weakly primary ideal of *R*. Hence we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let I be a proper ideal of R such that  $\sqrt{I}$  is a weakly prime (weakly primary) ideal of R. Then I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $0 \neq abc \in I$  for some  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $ab \notin I$ . Suppose that  $ab \notin \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $\sqrt{I}$  is a weakly prime ideal of R, we have  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ , and thus  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ . Suppose that  $ab \in \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $0 \neq abc \in I$  and  $ab \in \sqrt{I}$ , we have  $0 \neq ab \in \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $\sqrt{I}$  is a weakly prime ideal of R and  $0 \neq ab \in \sqrt{I}$ , we have  $a \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $b \in \sqrt{I}$ . Thus  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ . Thus I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let I be a weakly primary ideal of R that is not primary and J be an ideal of R such that  $J \subseteq I$ . Then J is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. In particular, if L is an ideal of R, then  $A = I \cap L$  and B = IL are weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals of R.

*Proof.* Since I is a weakly primary ideal of R that is not primary,  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$  by [4, Theorem 2.2]. Hence  $\sqrt{J} = \sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$ . Let  $0 \neq abc \in J$  for some  $a, b, c \in R$  and suppose that  $ab \notin J$ . Since  $J \subseteq I$ , we have  $0 \neq abc \in I$ . We consider two cases. **Case one**: Suppose that  $ab \notin I$ . Since I is weakly primary and  $ab \notin I$ , we have  $c \in \sqrt{J} = \sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$ . Thus  $ac \in \sqrt{J}$ . **Case two**: Suppose that  $ab \in I$ . Since I is a weakly primary ideal of R, we have  $a \in I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$  or  $b \in \sqrt{0}$ . Thus  $ac \in \sqrt{J}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{J}$ . Thus J is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. The proof of the "in particular statement" is clear since  $A, B \subseteq I$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** Let I be a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R and suppose that (a, b, c) is a triple-zero of I for some  $a, b, c \in R$ . Then

(1) 
$$abI = bcI = acI = 0.$$

(2)  $aI^2 = bI^2 = cI^2 = 0.$ 

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $abI \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $i \in I$  such that  $abi \neq 0$ . Hence  $ab(c+i) \neq 0$ . Since  $ab \notin I$  and I is weakly 2-absorbing primary, we have  $a(c+i) \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $b(c+i) \in \sqrt{I}$ . So  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus abI = 0. Similarly it can be easily verified that bcI = acI = 0.

(2) Suppose that  $ai_1i_2 \neq 0$  for some  $i_1, i_2 \in I$ . Hence from (1) we have  $a(b+i_1)(c+i_2) = ai_1i_2 \neq 0$ . It implies either  $a(b+i_1) \in I$  or  $a(c+i_2) \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $(b+i_1)(c+i_2) \in \sqrt{I}$ . Thus  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $aI^2 = 0$ . Similarly, one can easily show that  $bI^2 = cI^2 = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.10.** If I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R that is not 2-absorbing primary, then  $I^3 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal that is not a 2absorbing primary ideal of R. Then there exists (a, b, c) a triple-zero of I for some  $a, b, c \in R$ . Assume that  $I^3 \neq 0$ . Hence  $i_1i_2i_3 \neq 0$  for some  $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I$ . By Theorem 2.9, we obtain  $(a + i_1)(b + i_2)(c + i_3) = i_1i_2i_3 \neq 0$ . This implies that  $(a + i_1)(b + i_2) \in I$  or  $(a + i_1)(c + i_3) \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $(b + i_2)(c + i_3) \in \sqrt{I}$ . Thus we have  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $I^3 = 0$ .

**Corollary 2.11.** If I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R that is not 2-absorbing primary, then  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$ .

Recall that a ring R is said to be *reduced* if  $\sqrt{0} = 0$ .

**Corollary 2.12.** Let R be a reduced ring and  $I \neq 0$  be a proper ideal of R. Then I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal if and only if I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. The following example shows that a proper ideal I of R with the property  $I^3 = 0$  need not be a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. We have the following example.

**Example 2.13.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{90}$ . Then  $I = \{0, 30, 60\}$  is an ideal of R and clearly  $I^3 = 0$ . Since  $0 \neq 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = 30 \in I$ ,  $2 \cdot 3 = 6 \notin I$ ,  $2 \cdot 5 = 10 \notin \sqrt{I}$ , and  $3 \cdot 5 = 15 \notin \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that I is not a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R*. Since  $\sqrt{\sqrt{I}} = \sqrt{I}$ , we remind the reader again that  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of *R* if and only if  $\sqrt{I}$  is a primary ideal of *R*. We have the following result.

**Theorem 2.14.** Suppose that  $\sqrt{0}$  is a prime (primary) ideal of R. Let I be a proper ideal of R. Then I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

*Proof.* Suppose that I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. Assume that  $abc \in I$  for some  $a, b, c \in R$ . If  $0 \neq abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ . Hence assume that abc = 0 and  $ab \notin I$ . Since abc = 0 and  $\sqrt{0}$  is a prime ideal of R, we conclude that  $a \in \sqrt{0}$  or  $b \in \sqrt{0}$  or  $c \in \sqrt{0}$ . Since  $\sqrt{0} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $ac \in \sqrt{0} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{0} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Thus I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. The converse is clear.

**Theorem 2.15.** Suppose that  $\{0\}$  has a triple-zero (a, b, c) for some  $a, b, c \in R$  such that  $ab \notin \sqrt{0}$ . Let I be a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. Then I is not a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if  $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that I is not a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. Then  $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$  by Corollary 2.11. Conversely, suppose that  $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$ . By hypothesis, we conclude that  $ab \notin I$ ,  $ac \notin \sqrt{0}$ , and  $bc \notin \sqrt{0}$ . Thus (a, b, c) is a triple-zero of I. Hence I is not a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

**Theorem 2.16.** Let  $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_n$  be weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals of R such that every  $I_i$  is not 2-absorbing primary. Then  $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^n I_i$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

*Proof.* Observe that  $\sqrt{I_i} = \sqrt{0}$  for each  $1 \le i \le n$  by Corollary 2.11. Thus  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$ . Suppose that  $a, b, c \in R$  with  $0 \ne abc \in I$  and  $ab \notin I$ . Then  $ab \notin I_k$  for some  $1 \le k \le n$ . Hence  $bc \in \sqrt{I_k} = \sqrt{0} = \sqrt{I}$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{I_k} = \sqrt{0} = \sqrt{I}$ . Hence I is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of R.

**Theorem 2.17.** Let  $f : R \to R'$  be a homomorphism of commutative rings. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) If f is a monomorphism and J' is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R', then  $f^{-1}(J')$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.
- (2) If f is an epimorphism and J is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R containing Ker(f), then f(J) is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R'.

Proof. (1) Let  $a, b, c \in R$  such that  $0 \neq abc \in f^{-1}(J')$ . Since  $\operatorname{Ker}(f) = 0$ , we get  $0 \neq f(abc) = f(a)f(b)f(c) \in J'$ . Hence we have  $f(a)f(b) \in J'$  or  $f(b)f(c) \in \sqrt{J'}$  or  $f(a)f(c) \in \sqrt{J'}$ , and thus  $ab \in f^{-1}(J')$  or  $bc \in f^{-1}(\sqrt{J'})$ or  $ac \in f^{-1}(\sqrt{J'})$ . Since  $f^{-1}(\sqrt{J'}) = \sqrt{f^{-1}(J')}$ , we conclude that  $f^{-1}(J')$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

(2) Let  $a', b', c' \in R'$  and  $0 \neq a'b'c' \in f(J)$ . Then there exist  $a, b, c \in R$  such that f(a) = a', f(b) = b', f(c) = c' and  $0 \neq f(abc) = a'b'c' \in f(J)$ . Since  $\operatorname{Ker}(f) \subseteq J$ , we have  $0 \neq abc \in J$ . It implies that  $ab \in J$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{J}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{J}$ . It means that  $a'b' \in f(J)$  or  $a'c' \in f(\sqrt{J}) \subseteq \sqrt{f(J)}$  or  $b'c' \in f(\sqrt{J}) \subseteq \sqrt{f(J)}$ . Thus f(J) is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R'.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.18.** Let I, J be proper ideals of R with  $I \subseteq J$ . Then the followings statements hold.

- (1) If J is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R, then J/I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R/I.
- (2) If I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R and J/I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R/I, then J is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.
- (3) If I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R and J/I is a weakly 2absorbing primary ideal of R/I, then J is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

*Proof.* (1) It is obtained from Theorem 2.17.

(2) Let  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $abc \in J$ . If  $abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I \subseteq J$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{J}$ or  $ac \in \sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{J}$ . So we may assume that  $abc \notin I$ . Then we have  $I \neq (a+I)(b+I)(c+I) \in J/I$ . Since J/I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R/I, we conclude  $(a+I)(b+I) = ab+I \in J/I$  or  $(a+I)(c+I) = ac+I \in \sqrt{J/I}$  or  $(b+I)(c+I) = bc+I \in \sqrt{J/I}$ . It follows that  $ab \in J$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{J}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{J}$ . Thus J is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

(3) Let  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $0 \neq abc \in J$ . Then by a similar argument as in (2), J is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

If I, J are weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals of a ring R such that  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{J}$ , then I + J need not be a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. We have the following example.

**Example 2.19.** Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}_2[T, U, W, X, Y]$ ,  $H = (T^2, U^2, WXY + T + U, TU, TW, TX, TY, UW, UX, UY)A$  be an ideal of A, and R = A/H. Then by construction of R,  $I = (TA + H)/H = \{0, T + H\}$  and  $J = (UA + H)/H = \{0, U + H\}$  are weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals of R such that |I| = |J| = 2 and  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{J} = \sqrt{0}$  (in R) = (T, U, WXY)A/H. Let L = I + J = (H + (T, U)A)/H. Then  $\sqrt{L} = \sqrt{0}$  (in R) and L is not a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. For  $0 \neq (W + H)(X + H)(Y + H) = WXY + H = T + U + H \in L$ , but  $WX + H \notin L$ ,  $WY + H \notin \sqrt{L}$ , and  $XY + H \notin \sqrt{L}$ .

For a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$ , let Z(R) be the set of all zero-divisors of R.

**Theorem 2.20.** Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R. Then

- (1) If I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R with  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $S^{-1}I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of  $S^{-1}R$ .
- (2) If  $S^{-1}I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of  $S^{-1}R$  such that  $S \cap Z_I(R) = \emptyset$  and  $S \cap Z(R) = \emptyset$ , then I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $a, b, c \in R$ ,  $s, t, k \in S$  such that  $0 \neq \frac{a}{s} \frac{b}{t} \frac{c}{k} \in S^{-1}I$ . Then there exists  $u \in S$  such that  $0 \neq uabc \in I$ . Since I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal, we get either  $uab \in I$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $uac \in \sqrt{I}$ . If  $uab \in I$ , then  $\frac{a}{s} \frac{b}{t} = \frac{uab}{ust} \in S^{-1}I$ . If  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ , then  $\frac{b}{t} \frac{c}{k} \in S^{-1}\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{S^{-1}I}$ . If  $uac \in \sqrt{I}$ , then  $\frac{a}{s} \frac{c}{k} = \frac{uac}{usk} \in \sqrt{S^{-1}I}$ .

(2) Let  $a, b, c \in R$  such that  $0 \neq abc \in I$ . Since  $S \cap Z(R) = \emptyset$ , we have  $0 \neq \frac{abc}{1} = \frac{a}{1} \frac{b}{1} \frac{c}{1} \in S^{-1}I$ . It follows either  $\frac{a}{1} \frac{b}{1} \in S^{-1}I$  or  $\frac{b}{1} \frac{c}{1} \in \sqrt{S^{-1}I}$  or  $\frac{a}{1} \frac{c}{1} \in \sqrt{S^{-1}I}$ . If  $\frac{a}{1} \frac{b}{1} = \frac{ab}{1} \in S^{-1}I$ , then  $uab \in I$  for some  $u \in S$ . Since  $u \in S$  and  $S \cap Z_I(R) = \emptyset$ , we conclude  $ab \in I$ . If  $\frac{b}{1} \frac{c}{1} = \frac{bc}{1} \in \sqrt{S^{-1}I} = S^{-1}\sqrt{I}$ , then there exists  $v \in S$  and a positive integer n such that  $(vbc)^n = v^n b^n c^n \in I$ . Since  $v \in S$ , we have  $v^n \notin Z_I(R)$ . Thus  $b^n c^n \in I$ , and so  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ . If  $\frac{a}{1} \frac{c}{1} \in \sqrt{S^{-1}I}$ , then similarly we obtain  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ , and it completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.21.** Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be commutative rings with  $1 \neq 0$ , I be a proper ideal of  $R_1$ , and  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1)  $I \times R_2$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.
- (2)  $I \times R_2$  is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.
- (3) I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_1$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Since  $I \times R_2 \not\subseteq \sqrt{0}$ , we conclude that  $I \times R_2$  is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by Corollary 2.11.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  Suppose that I is not a 2-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_1$ . Then there exist  $a, b, c \in R_1$  such that  $abc \in I$ , but  $ab \notin I$ ,  $bc \notin \sqrt{I}$ , and  $ac \notin \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $(a, 1)(b, 1)(c, 1) \in I \times R_2$ , we have  $(a, 1)(b, 1) = (ab, 1) \in I \times R_2$  or  $(a, 1)(c, 1) = (ac, 1) \in \sqrt{I \times R_2} = \sqrt{I} \times R_2$  or  $(b, 1)(c, 1) = (bc, 1) \in \sqrt{I \times R_2} = \sqrt{I} \times R_2$ . It follows that  $ab \in I$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_1$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let *I* be a 2-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_1$ . Then  $I \times R_2$  is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of *R* by [7, Theorem 2.23], and therefore (1) holds.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.22.** Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be commutative rings with  $1 \neq 0$ ,  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  be nonzero ideals of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , respectively, and  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ . If  $I_1 \times I_2$  is a proper ideal of R, then the following statements are equivalent.

(1)  $I_1 \times I_2$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

- (2)  $I_1 = R_1$  and  $I_2$  is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_1$  or  $I_2 = R_2$  and  $I_1$  is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_1$  or  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  are primary ideals of  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , respectively.
- (3)  $I_1 \times I_2$  is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.

*Proof.* (1)⇒(2) Assume that  $I_1 \times I_2$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. If  $I_1 = R_1$  ( $I_2 = R_2$ ), then  $I_2$  is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_2$  ( $I_1$  is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of  $R_1$ ) by Theorem 2.21. So we may assume that  $I_1 \neq R_1$  and  $I_2 \neq R_2$ . Let  $a, b \in R_2$  such that  $ab \in I_2$  and let  $0 \neq x \in I_1$ . Then  $0 \neq (x, 1)(1, a)(1, b) = (x, ab) \in I_1 \times I_2$ . Since  $I_1$  is proper,  $(1, a)(1, b) = (1, ab) \notin \sqrt{I_1 \times I_2}$ . Hence we have  $(x, 1)(1, a) = (x, a) \in I_1 \times I_2$  or  $(x, 1)(1, b) = (x, b) \in \sqrt{I_1 \times I_2}$ , and so  $a \in I_2$  or  $b \in \sqrt{I_2}$ . Thus  $I_2$  is a primary ideal of  $R_1$ . (2)⇒(3) The proof is clear by [7, Theorem 2.23].

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  It is clear.

**Theorem 2.23.** Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be commutative rings with  $1 \neq 0$  and  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ . Then a nonzero proper ideal I of R is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R that is not 2-absorbing primary if and only if one of the following conditions holds.

- (1)  $I = I_1 \times I_2$ , where  $I_1 \neq R_1$  is a nonzero weakly primary ideal of  $R_1$  that is not primary and  $I_2 = 0$  is a primary ideal of  $R_2$ .
- (2)  $I = I_1 \times I_2$ , where  $I_2 \neq R_2$  is a nonzero weakly primary ideal of  $R_2$  that is not primary and  $I_1 = 0$  is a primary ideal of  $R_1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that I is a nonzero weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R that is not 2-absorbing primary ideal. Then  $I = I_1 \times I_2$  for some ideals  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  of  $R_1$ and  $R_2$ , respectively. Assume that  $I_1 \neq 0$  and  $I_2 \neq 0$ . Then I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by Theorem 2.22, a contradiction. Therefore  $I_1 = 0$  or  $I_2 = 0$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $I_2 = 0$ . We show that  $I_2 = 0$  is a primary ideal of  $R_2$ . Let  $a, b \in R_2$  such that  $ab \in I_2$ , and let  $0 \neq x \in I_1$ . Since  $0 \neq (x,1)(1,a)(1,b) = (x,ab) \in I$  and  $(1,ab) \notin \sqrt{I}$ , we obtain  $(x, a) = (x, 1)(1, a) \in I$  or  $(x, b) = (x, 1)(1, b) \in \sqrt{I}$ , and so  $a \in I_2$  or  $b \in \sqrt{I_2}$ . Thus  $I_2 = 0$  is a primary ideal of  $R_2$ . Next, we show that  $I_1$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R_1$ . Observe that  $I_1 \neq R_1$ . For if  $I_1 = R_1$ , then  $R_1 \times 0$  is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by [7, Theorem 2.23]. Let  $0 \neq ab \in I_1$  for some  $a, b \in R_1$ . Since  $0 \neq (a, 1)(b, 1)(1, 0) \in I_1 \times 0$  and  $(ab, 1) \notin I_1 \times 0$ , we conclude  $(a,0) = (a,1)(1,0) \in \sqrt{I_1 \times 0} = \sqrt{I} \text{ or } (b,0) = (b,1)(1,0) \in \sqrt{I_1 \times 0} = \sqrt{I}.$ Thus  $a \in I_1$  or  $b \in \sqrt{I_1}$ , and therefore  $I_1$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R_1$ . Now, we show that  $I_1$  is not primary. Suppose that  $I_1$  is a primary ideal of  $R_1$ . Since  $I_2 = \{0\}$  is a primary ideal of  $R_2$ , we conclude that  $I = I_1 \times I_2$  is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by [7, Theorem 2.23], a contradiction. Thus  $I_1$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R_1$  that is not primary.

Conversely, suppose that (1) holds. Assume that  $(0,0) \neq (a,a')(b,b')(c,c') \in I = I_1 \times 0$ . Since a'b'c' = 0 and  $(0,0) \neq (a,a')(b,b')(c,c') \in I_1 \times 0$ , we conclude

that  $abc \neq 0$ . Assume  $(a, a')(b, b') \notin I$ . We consider three cases. **Case one**: Suppose that  $ab \notin I_1$ , but a'b' = 0. Since  $I_1$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R_1$ , we have  $c \in \sqrt{I_1}$ . Since  $I_2 = 0$  is a primary ideal of  $R_2$ , we have a' = 0 or  $b' \in \sqrt{I_2}$ . Thus  $(a, a')(c, c') \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $(b, b')(c, c') \in \sqrt{I}$ . **Case two**: Suppose that  $ab \notin I_1$  and  $a'b' \neq 0$ . Then  $(c, c') \in \sqrt{I_1} \times \sqrt{0} = \sqrt{I}$ . Thus  $(a, a')(c, c') \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $(b, b')(c, c') \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $(a, a')(c, c') \in \sqrt{I}$ . **Case three**: Suppose that  $ab \in I_1$ , but  $a'b' \neq 0$ . Since  $0 \neq ab \in I_1$  and  $I_1$  is a weakly primary ideal of  $R_1$ , we have  $a \in I_1$  or  $b \in \sqrt{I_1}$ . Since  $a'b' \neq 0$  and  $I_2 = 0$  is a primary ideal of  $R_2$ , we have  $c' \in \sqrt{I_2}$ . Thus  $(a, a')(c, c') \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $(b, b')(c, c') \in \sqrt{I}$ . Hence I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. Since  $I_1$  is not a primary ideal of  $R_1$ , I is not a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by [7, Theorem 2.23].

**Theorem 2.24.** Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$ , where  $2 < n < \infty$ , and  $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n$  are commutative rings with  $1 \neq 0$ . Let I be a nonzero proper ideal of R. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.
- (2) I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.
- (3) Either  $I = \times_{j=1}^{n} I_j$  such that for some  $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ ,  $I_k$  is a 2absorbing primary ideal of  $R_k$ , and  $I_j = R_j$  for every  $j \in \{1, ..., n\} - \{k\}$ , or  $I = \times_{j=1}^{n} I_j$  such that for some  $k, m \in \{1, ..., n\}$ ,  $I_k$  is a primary ideal of  $R_k$ ,  $I_m$  is a primary ideal of  $R_m$ , and  $I_j = R_j$  for every  $j \in \{1, ..., n\} - \{k, m\}$ .

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) Since I is a proper ideal of R, we have  $I = I_1 \times \cdots \times I_n$ , where every  $I_i$  is an ideal of  $R_i$ , and  $I_j \neq R_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Suppose that  $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_n \neq 0$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. Then there is an element  $0 \neq (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in I$ . Hence  $0 \neq$  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = (a_1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)(1, a_2, 1, \ldots, 1) \cdots (1, 1, \ldots, a_n) \in I$  implies there is a  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  such that  $b_j = 1$  and  $(b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \sqrt{I} = \sqrt{I_1} \times \cdots \times \sqrt{I_n}$ , where  $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \{1, a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ . Hence  $\sqrt{I_j} = R_j$ , and so  $I_j = R_j$ . Thus  $\sqrt{I} \neq \sqrt{0}$ , and hence by Corollary 2.11, I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal. The converse is obvious.

 $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$  It is clear by [7, Theorem 2.24].

**Theorem 2.25.** Let  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  be commutative rings with  $1 \neq 0$ , and let  $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3$ . Then every proper ideal of R is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , and  $R_3$  are fields.

*Proof.* Suppose that every proper ideal of R is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $R_1$  is not a field. Then  $R_1$  has a nonzero proper ideal I. Thus  $J = I \times 0 \times 0$  is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R, which is impossible by Theorem 2.24.

Conversely, suppose that  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$  are fields. Then every nonzero proper ideal of R is a 2-absorbing ideal by [5, Theorem 3.4]. Since 0 is always weakly 2-absorbing primary, the proof is completed.

**Theorem 2.26.** Suppose that every proper ideal of R is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal. Then R has at most three incomparable (under inclusion) prime ideals.

*Proof.* Deny. Then there are  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ ,  $M_3$ , and  $M_4$  incomparable prime ideals of R. Let  $I = M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3$ . Hence  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{M_1} \cap \sqrt{M_2} \cap \sqrt{M_3}$ . Thus  $\sqrt{I}$ is not a 2-absorbing ideal of R by [3, Theorem 2.5]. So I is not a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by [7, Theorem 2.2]. Hence  $I^3 = 0$  by Theorem 2.10. Thus  $I^3 = M_1^3 M_2^3 M_3^3 = 0 \subseteq M_4$  implies that  $M_1 \subseteq M_4$  or  $M_2 \subseteq M_4$  or  $M_3 \subseteq M_4$ , a contradiction. Thus R has at most three incomparable (under inclusion) prime ideals.

In view of Theorem 2.26, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.27.** Suppose that every proper ideal of R is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal. Then R has at most three maximal ideals.

**Definition 2.28.** Let I be a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R and suppose that  $I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of R. We say I is *free triple-zero* with respect to  $I_1I_2I_3$  if (a, b, c) is not a triple-zero of I for every  $a \in I_1, b \in I_2$ , and  $c \in I_3$ .

**Conjecture 1.** Let I be a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R and suppose that  $0 \neq I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of R. Then I is free triple-zero with respect to  $I_1 I_2 I_3$ .

**Lemma 2.29.** Let I be a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of a ring R and suppose that  $abJ \subseteq I$  for some elements  $a, b \in R$  and some ideal J of R such that (a, b, c) is not a triple-zero of I for every  $c \in J$ . If  $ab \notin I$ , then  $aJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  or  $bJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $aJ \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$  and  $bJ \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Then  $aj_1 \notin \sqrt{I}$  and  $bj_2 \notin \sqrt{I}$  for some  $j_1, j_2 \in J$ . Since  $(a, b, j_1)$  is not a triple-zero of I and  $abj_1 \in I$  and  $ab \notin I$ and  $aj_1 \notin \sqrt{I}$ , we have  $bj_1 \in \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $(a, b, j_2)$  is not a triple-zero of I and  $abj_2 \in I$  and  $ab \notin I$  and  $bj_2 \notin \sqrt{I}$ , we have  $aj_2 \in \sqrt{I}$ . Now, since  $(a, b, j_1 + j_2)$ is not a triple-zero of I and  $ab(j_1 + j_2) \in I$  and  $ab \notin I$ , we have  $a(j_1 + j_2) \in \sqrt{I}$ or  $b(j_1 + j_2) \in \sqrt{I}$ . Suppose that  $a(j_1 + j_2) = aj_1 + aj_2 \in \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $aj_2 \in \sqrt{I}$ , we have  $aj_1 \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Suppose that  $b(j_1 + j_2) = bj_1 + bj_2 \in \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $bj_1 \in \sqrt{I}$ , we have  $bj_2 \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction again. Thus  $aJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  or  $bJ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .

Remark 1. Let I be a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R and suppose that  $I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of R such that I is free triple-zero with respect to  $I_1I_2I_3$ . Then if  $a \in I_1, b \in I_2$ , and  $c \in I_3$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ .

Let *I* be a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of *R*. In view of the below result, one can see that Conjecture 1 is valid if and only if whenever  $0 \neq I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  of *R*, then  $I_1I_2 \subseteq I$  or  $I_2I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  or  $I_1I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .

**Theorem 2.30.** Let I be a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R and suppose that  $0 \neq I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  of R such that I is free triple-zero with respect to  $I_1 I_2 I_3$ . Then  $I_1 I_2 \subseteq I$  or  $I_2 I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  or  $I_1 I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal of R and  $0 \neq I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  of R such that I is free triple-zero with respect to  $I_1I_2I_3$ . Suppose that  $I_1I_2 \not\subseteq I$ . By Remark 1, we proceed with the same argument as in the proof of [7, Theorem 2.19]. We show that  $I_1I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  or  $I_2I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Suppose that neither  $I_1I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  nor  $I_2I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Then there are  $q_1 \in I_1$  and  $q_2 \in I_2$  such that neither  $q_1I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  nor  $q_2I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $q_1q_2I_3 \subseteq I$  and neither  $q_1I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  nor  $q_2I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we have  $q_1q_2 \in I$  by Lemma 2.29.

Since  $I_1I_2 \not\subseteq I$ , we have  $ab \notin I$  for some  $a \in I_1, b \in I_2$ . Since  $abI_3 \subseteq I$  and  $ab \notin I$ , we have  $aI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  or  $bI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  by Lemma 2.29. We consider three cases. Case one: Suppose that  $aI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , but  $bI_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $q_1bI_3 \subseteq I$ and neither  $bI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  nor  $q_1I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $q_1b \in I$  by Lemma 2.29. Since  $(a + q_1)bI_3 \subseteq I$  and  $aI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , but  $q_1I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $(a+q_1)I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Since neither  $bI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  nor  $(a+q_1)I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $(a+q_1)b \in I$  by Lemma 2.29. Since  $(a+q_1)b = ab+q_1b \in I$  and  $q_1b \in I$ , we conclude that  $ab \in I$ , a contradiction. Case two: Suppose that  $bI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , but  $aI_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $aq_2I_3 \subseteq I$  and neither  $aI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  nor  $q_2I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $aq_2 \in I$ . Since  $a(b+q_2)I_3 \subseteq I$  and  $bI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , but  $q_2I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $(b+q_2)I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Since neither  $aI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  nor  $(b+q_2)I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $a(b+q_2) \in I$  by Lemma 2.29. Since  $a(b+q_2) = ab + aq_2 \in I$ and  $aq_2 \in I$ , we conclude that  $ab \in I$ , a contradiction. Case three: Suppose that  $aI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  and  $bI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $bI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  and  $q_2I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $(b+q_2)I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $q_1(b+q_2)I_3 \subseteq I$  and neither  $q_1I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  nor  $(b+q_2)I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $q_1(b+q_2) = q_1b + q_1q_2 \in I$  by Lemma 2.29. Since  $q_1q_2 \in I$  and  $q_1b+q_1q_2 \in I$ , we conclude that  $bq_1 \in I$ . Since  $aI_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  and  $q_1I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $(a+q_1)I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Since  $(a+q_1)q_2I_3 \subseteq I$  and neither  $q_2I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  nor  $(a+q_1)I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $(a+q_1)q_2 = aq_2 + q_1q_2 \in I$  by Lemma 2.29. Since  $q_1q_2 \in I$  and  $aq_2 + q_1q_2 \in I$ , we conclude that  $aq_2 \in I$ . Now, since  $(a+q_1)(b+q_2)I_3 \subseteq I$  and neither  $(a+q_1)I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  nor  $(b+q_2)I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , we conclude that  $(a+q_1)(b+q_2) = ab+aq_2+bq_1+q_1q_2 \in I$  by Lemma 2.29. Since  $aq_2, bq_1, q_1q_2 \in I$ , we have  $aq_2 + bq_1 + q_1q_2 \in I$ . Since  $ab + aq_2 + bq_1 + q_1q_2 \in I$ and  $aq_2 + bq_1 + q_1q_2 \in I$ , we conclude that  $ab \in I$ , a contradiction. Hence  $I_1I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  or  $I_2I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . 

### 3. A visit to weakly prime ideals and weakly 2-absorbing ideals

**Definition 3.1.** Let *I* be a weakly prime ideal of *R*. We say (a, b) is a *twin-zero* of *I* if ab = 0,  $a \notin I$ , and  $b \notin I$ .

In this section, we use the concept "twin-zero" in order to give alternative proofs to some results in [2].

Note that if I is a weakly prime ideal of R that is not a prime ideal, then I has a twin-zero (a, b) for some  $a, b \in R$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let I be a weakly prime ideal of R and suppose that (a, b) is a twin-zero of I for some  $a, b \in R$ . Then aI = bI = 0.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $aI \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $i \in I$  such that  $ai \neq 0$ . Hence  $a(b+i) \neq 0$ . Since  $a \notin I$  and I is weakly prime, we have  $b+i \in I$ , and hence  $b \in I$ , a contradiction. Thus aI = 0. Similarly, it can be easily verified that bI = 0.

**Theorem 3.3** ([2, Theorem 1]). Let I be a weakly prime ideal of R. If I is not prime, then  $I^2 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let (a, b) be a twin-zero of I. Suppose that  $i_1i_2 \neq 0$  for some  $i_1, i_2 \in I$ . Then by Theorem 3.2, we have  $(a+i_1)(b+i_2) = i_1i_2 \neq 0$ . Thus  $(a+i_1) \in I$  or  $(b+i_2) \in I$ , and hence  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $I^2 = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.4** ([2, Theorem 4]). Let I be a weakly prime ideal of R. If I is not prime, then  $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$  and  $I\sqrt{0} = 0$ .

Proof. Suppose that I is not prime. Then  $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$  by Theorem 3.3. Let  $w \in \sqrt{0}$ . If  $w \in I$ , then wI = 0 by Theorem 3.3. Thus assume that  $w \notin I$  and  $wI \neq 0$ . Hence  $wi \neq 0$  for some  $i \in I$ . Let m be the least positive integer such that  $w^m = 0$ . Since  $w(w^{m-1} + i) = wi \neq 0$  and  $w \notin I$ , we have  $w^{m-1} + i \in I$ , and hence  $w^{m-1} \in I$ . Since  $0 \neq w^{m-1} \in I$  and I is weakly prime, we conclude that  $w \in I$ , a contradiction. Thus wI = 0. Hence  $I\sqrt{0} = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let I be a weakly prime ideal of R and suppose that (a, b) is a twin-zero of I. If  $ar \in I$  for some  $r \in R$ , then ar = 0.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $0 \neq ar \in I$  for some  $r \in R$ . Then  $r \in I$ . Thus ar = 0 by Theorem 3.2, a contradiction.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let I be a weakly prime ideal of R and suppose that  $AB \subseteq I$  for some ideals A, B of R. If I has a twin-zero (a, b) for some  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , then AB = 0.

*Proof.* Suppose that I has a twin-zero (a, b) for some  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  and assume that  $cd \neq 0$  for some  $c \in A$  and  $d \in B$ . Then  $c \in I$  or  $d \in I$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $c \in I$ . Since  $I^2 = 0$  by Theorem 3.2 and  $0 \neq cd \in I$ , we conclude that  $d \notin I$ . Since  $ad \in I$ , we have ad = 0 by Theorem 3.5. Since  $(a + c)d = cd \neq 0$  and  $d \notin I$ , we have  $a + c \in I$ . Hence  $a \in I$ , a contradiction. Thus AB = 0.

**Theorem 3.7** ([2, Theorem 3(4)]). Let I be a weakly prime ideal of R and suppose that  $0 \neq AB \subseteq I$  for some ideals A, B of R. Then  $A \subseteq I$  or  $B \subseteq I$ .

*Proof.* Since  $0 \neq AB \subseteq I$ , we conclude that for every  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , we have  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  by Theorem 3.6. Without loss of generality, assume that  $B \not\subseteq I$ . Hence  $b \notin I$  for some  $b \in B$ . Let  $a \in A$ . Since  $ab \in I$  and  $b \notin I$ , we have  $a \in I$ . Thus  $A \subseteq I$ .

We recall the following definition from [6].

**Definition 3.8.** Let *I* be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of a ring *R* and  $a, b, c \in R$ . We say (a, b, c) is a triple-zero of *I* if abc = 0,  $ab \notin I$ ,  $bc \notin I$ , and  $ac \notin I$ .

**Definition 3.9.** Let I be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of R and suppose that  $I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of R. We say I is *free triple-zero with* respect to  $I_1I_2I_3$  if (a, b, c) is not a triple-zero of I for every  $a \in I_1, b \in I_2$ , and  $c \in I_3$ .

**Conjecture 2.** Let I be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of R and suppose that  $0 \neq I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of R. Then I is free triple-zero with respect to  $I_1I_2I_3$ .

**Lemma 3.10.** Let I be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of a ring R and suppose that  $abJ \subseteq I$  for some elements  $a, b \in R$  and some ideal J of R such that (a, b, c) is not a triple-zero of I for every  $c \in J$ . If  $ab \notin I$ , then  $aJ \subseteq I$  or  $bJ \subseteq I$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $aJ \not\subseteq I$  and  $bJ \not\subseteq I$ . Then  $aj_1 \notin I$  and  $bj_2 \notin I$  for some  $j_1, j_2 \in J$ . Since  $(a, b, j_1)$  is not a triple-zero of I and  $abj_1 \in I$  and  $ab \notin I$  and  $aj_1 \notin I$ , we have  $bj_1 \in I$ . Since  $(a, b, j_2)$  is not a triple-zero of I and  $abj_2 \in I$  and  $ab \notin I$  and  $ab_2 \notin I$ , we have  $aj_2 \notin I$ , we have  $aj_2 \in I$ . Now, since  $(a, b, j_1 + j_2)$  is not a triple-zero of I and  $ab(j_1 + j_2) \in I$  and  $ab \notin I$ , we have  $a(j_1 + j_2) \in I$  or  $b(j_1 + j_2) \in I$ . Suppose that  $a(j_1 + j_2) = aj_1 + aj_2 \in I$ . Since  $aj_2 \in I$ , we have  $aj_1 \in I$ , a contradiction. Suppose that  $b(j_1 + j_2) = bj_1 + bj_2 \in I$ . Since  $bj_1 \in I$ , we have  $bj_2 \in I$ , a contradiction again. Thus  $aJ \subseteq I$  or  $bJ \subseteq I$ .

Remark 2. Let I be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of R and suppose that  $I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of R such that I is free triple-zero with respect to  $I_1I_2I_3$ . Then if  $a \in I_1, b \in I_2$ , and  $c \in I_3$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ .

Let *I* be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of *R*. In view of the below result, one can see that Conjecture 2 is valid if and only if whenever  $0 \neq I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  of *R*, then  $I_1I_2 \subseteq I$  or  $I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  or  $I_1I_3 \subseteq I$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** Let I be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of R and suppose that  $0 \neq I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  of R such that I is free triple-zero with respect to  $I_1I_2I_3$ . Then  $I_1I_2 \subseteq I$  or  $I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  or  $I_1I_3 \subseteq I$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that I is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of R and  $0 \neq I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  of R such that such that I is free triple-zero with respect to  $I_1I_2I_3$ . Suppose that  $I_1I_2 \not\subseteq I$ . By Remark 2, we proceed with a similar argument as in the proof of [7, Theorem 2.19]. We show that  $I_1I_3 \subseteq I$  or  $I_2I_3 \subseteq I$ . Suppose that neither  $I_1I_3 \subseteq I$  nor  $I_2I_3 \subseteq I$ . Then there are

 $q_1 \in I_1$  and  $q_2 \in I_2$  such that neither  $q_1I_3 \subseteq I$  nor  $q_2I_3 \subseteq I$ . Since  $q_1q_2I_3 \subseteq I$  and neither  $q_1I_3 \subseteq I$  nor  $q_2I_3 \subseteq I$ , we have  $q_1q_2 \in I$  by Lemma 3.10.

Since  $I_1I_2 \not\subseteq I$ , we have  $ab \notin I$  for some  $a \in I_1, b \in I_2$ . Since  $abI_3 \subseteq I$ and  $ab \notin I$ , we have  $aI_3 \subseteq I$  or  $bI_3 \subseteq I$  by Lemma 3.10. We consider three cases. Case one: Suppose that  $aI_3 \subseteq I$ , but  $bI_3 \not\subseteq I$ . Since  $q_1bI_3 \subseteq I$  and neither  $bI_3 \subseteq I$  nor  $q_1I_3 \subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $q_1b \in I$  by Lemma 3.10. Since  $(a+q_1)bI_3 \subseteq I$  and  $aI_3 \subseteq I$ , but  $q_1I_3 \not\subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $(a+q_1)I_3 \not\subseteq I$ . Since neither  $bI_3 \subseteq I$  nor  $(a+q_1)I_3 \subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $(a+q_1)b \in I$  by Lemma 3.10. Since  $(a + q_1)b = ab + q_1b \in I$  and  $q_1b \in I$ , we conclude that  $ab \in I$ , a contradiction. Case two: Suppose that  $bI_3 \subseteq I$ , but  $aI_3 \not\subseteq I$ . Since  $aq_2I_3 \subseteq I$ and neither  $aI_3 \subseteq I$  nor  $q_2I_3 \subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $aq_2 \in I$ . Since  $a(b+q_2)I_3 \subseteq I$ I and  $bI_3 \subseteq I$ , but  $q_2I_3 \not\subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $(b+q_2)I_3 \not\subseteq I$ . Since neither  $aI_3 \subseteq I$  nor  $(b+q_2)I_3 \subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $a(b+q_2) \in I$  by Lemma 3.10. Since  $a(b+q_2) = ab + aq_2 \in I$  and  $aq_2 \in I$ , we conclude that  $ab \in I$ , a contradiction. **Case three**: Suppose that  $aI_3 \subseteq I$  and  $bI_3 \subseteq I$ . Since  $bI_3 \subseteq I$  and  $q_2I_3 \not\subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $(b+q_2)I_3 \not\subseteq I$ . Since  $q_1(b+q_2)I_3 \subseteq I$  and neither  $q_1I_3 \subseteq I$ . nor  $(b+q_2)I_3 \subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $q_1(b+q_2) = q_1b + q_1q_2 \in I$  by Lemma 3.10. Since  $q_1q_2 \in I$  and  $q_1b + q_1q_2 \in I$ , we conclude that  $bq_1 \in I$ . Since  $aI_3 \subseteq I$  and  $q_1I_3 \not\subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $(a+q_1)I_3 \not\subseteq I$ . Since  $(a+q_1)q_2I_3 \subseteq I$  and neither  $q_2I_3 \subseteq I$  nor  $(a+q_1)I_3 \subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $(a+q_1)q_2 = aq_2 + q_1q_2 \in I$  by Lemma 3.10. Since  $q_1q_2 \in I$  and  $aq_2+q_1q_2 \in I$ , we conclude that  $aq_2 \in I$ . Now, since  $(a+q_1)(b+q_2)I_3 \subseteq I$  and neither  $(a+q_1)I_3 \subseteq I$  nor  $(b+q_2)I_3 \subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $(a+q_1)(b+q_2) = ab + aq_2 + bq_1 + q_1q_2 \in I$  by Lemma 3.10. Since  $aq_2, bq_1, q_1q_2 \in I$ , we have  $aq_2 + bq_1 + q_1q_2 \in I$ . Since  $ab + aq_2 + bq_1 + q_1q_2 \in I$ and  $aq_2 + bq_1 + q_1q_2 \in I$ , we conclude that  $ab \in I$ , a contradiction. Hence  $I_1I_3 \subseteq I$  or  $I_2I_3 \subseteq I$ . 

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank the referee for his/her great efforts in proofreading the manuscript and for the thoughtful comments. The second-named author and the third-named author were supported by the Scientific Research Project Program of Marmara University (BAPKO).

#### References

- D. D. Anderson and M. Bataineh, *Generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra 36 (2008), no. 2, 686–696.
- [2] D. D. Anderson and E. Smith, Weakly prime ideals, Houston J. Math. 29 (2003), no. 4, 831–840.
- [3] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, On n-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Comm. Algebra 39 (2011), no. 5, 1646–1672.
- [4] S. Ebrahimi Atani and F. Farzalipour, On weakly primary ideals, Georgian Math. J. 12 (2005), no. 3, 423–429.
- [5] A. Badawi, On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 75 (2007), no. 3, 417–429.
- [6] A. Badawi and A. Y. Darani, On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Houston J. Math. 39 (2013), no. 2, 441–452.

- [7] A. Badawi, U. Tekir, and E. Yetkin, On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. (in press)
- [8] A. Y. Darani and E. R. Puczylowski, On 2-absorbing commutative semigroups and their applications to rings, Semigroup Forum **86** (2013), no. 1, 83–91.
- [9] M. Ebrahimpour and R. Nekooei, On generalizations of prime ideals, Comm. Algebra 40 (2012), no. 4, 1268–1279.
- [10] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, Queen's Papers Pure Appl. Math. 90, Queen's University, Kingston, 1992.
- [11] J. Huckaba, Rings with Zero-Divisors, New York/Basil: Marcel Dekker, 1988.
- [12] S. Payrovi and S. Babaei, On the 2-absorbing ideals, Int. Math. Forum 7 (2012), no. 5-8, 265–271.

Ayman Badawi Department of Mathematics & Statistics American University of Sharjah P.O.Box 26666, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates *E-mail address*: abadawi@aus.edu

UNSAL TEKIR DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS MARMARA UNIVERSITY ISTANBUL, TURKEY *E-mail address*: utekir@marmara.edu.tr

ECE YETKIN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS MARMARA UNIVERSITY ISTANBUL, TURKEY *E-mail address*: ece.yetkin@marmara.edu.tr